

ADOPTED MOTIONS

Note: Because Motions #1, #1-a1, #2, #2-a1, #3, #5, and #6 are *FIPT* motions, only regional delegates were the legal decision makers. The threshold for decision making was pinned to the most recent roll call. For Motion #1 and #2 there were 117 delegates present at the most recent roll call. For Motion #3 and #6 the number was 120. For Motion #5 it was 119. The percentage of those voting is also shown in [brackets] for Motions 2 through 6. See the *FIPT* Operational Rules for more information www.na.org/fipt.

Motion #1	World Board
	<p>Original Motion: To approve adding zonal delegates from seated zones to the Settlor and Trustor of the <i>Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust (FIPT)</i>.</p> <p>As amended by 1a1: To approve adding zonal delegates from seated zones to the Settlor and Trustor of the <i>Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust (FIPT)</i> in Article II and to clarify the description of Recovery Literature in Article III of the <i>FIPT</i> as reflected in Addendum B.</p> <p>Intent: To reflect the decision at WSC 2018 to make delegates from seated zones voting WSC participants and honor the six-month Fellowship review of this proposal that occurred from December 2020 through May 2021.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 99 yes -6 no -2 abstain -1 present, not voting 92% (ZD vote: 5-0-0-0)
Final Vote: 111-3-1-1 94% Consensus Support (ZD vote: 6-0-0-0)

Note: WSC policy states that when there is consensus in the initial straw poll, the conference can decide on a motion without entertaining any amendments if participants wish.

Decision: Do you want to discuss and decide on the amendment to Motion #1:
91-22-1-2 77% Strong Support

Motion #1-a1	World Board
Amend, CAR Motion 1	<p>To add to the end of Motion 1 the following:</p> <p>. . . in Article II and to clarify the description of Recovery Literature in Article III of the <i>FIPT</i> as reflected in Addendum B.</p> <p>Motion 1 would read as amended: Motion 1: To approve adding zonal delegates from seated zones to the Settlor and Trustor of the <i>Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust (FIPT)</i> in Article II and to clarify the description of Recovery Literature in Article III of the <i>FIPT</i> as reflected in Addendum B.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 82-8-7-11 84% (ZD vote: 3-1-1-0)
Final Vote: 107-6-3-1 91% Consensus Support (ZD vote: 6-0-0-0)

Motion #2	World Board
	<p>To approve the revisions to the <i>FIPT</i> Operational Rules contained in Addendum B.</p> <p>Intent: To revise the Operational Rules to reflect discussions at WSC 2018 about the Inspection of Trustee Activities and to reflect current practices, terms, and language.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 92-8-4-4 88% (ZD vote: 4-1-0-0)

Final Vote: 93-20-0-3 79% [82%] Strong Support (ZD vote 5-1-0-0)

Note: WSC policy states that when there is consensus in the initial straw poll, the conference can decide on a motion without entertaining any amendments if participants wish.

Decision: Do you want to discuss and decide on the amendment to Motion #2:
48-64-3-2 41% Lack of Strong Support

Motion #3	World Board
	<p>To approve the revisions to NA <i>Intellectual Property Bulletin #1</i> contained in Addendum D.</p> <p>Intent: To revise this bulletin so that it provides more direct and clear guidance, and so that it reflects current practices, terms, and language.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 97-6-4-1 90% (ZD vote: 4-1-0-0)

Final Vote: 104-12-2-2 86% [88%] Consensus Support (ZD vote: 5-1-0-0)

Motion #4	World Board
	<p>To acknowledge that an NA meeting that meets regularly in person or virtually can choose to be an NA group if they meet the criteria described in <i>The Group Booklet</i>, including the six points for an NA group, and are consistent with NA philosophy as expressed in our Traditions. <i>(Footnotes will be added to The Group Booklet and GLS, reflecting the decision of the WSC, if this motion passes, and referencing Virtual Meeting Basics as a possible resource.)</i></p> <p>Intent: To recognize NA groups that meet virtually, including online and by phone.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 107-5-0-1 95%

Final Vote: 114-9-1-0 91% [92%] Consensus Support

Motion #5	World Board
	<p>To revise A Vision for NA Service, changing the phrase “his or her own language and culture” to the phrase “their own language and culture.” <i>(If this motion passes, A Vision for NA Service will be revised in future printings, including in Fellowship-approved recovery literature.)</i></p> <p>Intent: To revise the Vision Statement to be more inclusive.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 93-12-1-2 87% (ZD vote: 4-1-0-0)

Final Vote: 101-13-0-1 88% [89%] Consensus Support (ZD vote: 5-1-0-0)

Motion #6	World Board
	<p>To approve a change to World Services Translation Policy in GWSNA to allow the possibility for up to six locally developed personal stories to be included in translated Sixth Edition Basic Texts, if the stories have first been published in the Little White Book of that language. These stories would be placed in their own section in Our Members Share with the following language preceding them:</p> <p><i>A Vision for NA Service looks forward to a day when addicts around the world have the opportunity to experience our message in their own language and culture. In pursuit of that vision, communities or language groups that have published local stories in the Little White Book may include up to six of those stories in the Basic Text if they choose. These [fill in the number] stories were originally published in the [fill in the language] Little White Book in [fill in the year].</i></p> <p>Intent: To better reflect our Vision that “every addict in the world has the chance to experience our message in [their] own language and culture...” in our primary text.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: RD: 107-0-0-1 100% (ZD vote: 5-0-0-0)

Final Vote: 118-1-1-0 98% [98%] Consensus Support (ZD vote: 6-0-0-0)

Motion #7	World Board
	<p>To extend the six World Board members’ terms currently expiring in 2024 through the end of the upcoming World Service Conference cycle.</p> <p>Intent: To accommodate the change to the WSC cycle that has already occurred and avoid World Board terms ending in the middle of a conference cycle.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 102-6-2-3 92%

Final Vote: 119-5-0-0 95% Consensus Support

Decision: Do you want to discuss and decide on the amendments to Motion #7:
41-82-0-1 33% Lack of Strong Support

Motion #8	World Board
	<p>As a result of the COVID pandemic, to suspend the World Convention of NA (WCNA) rotation policy after 2024, to allow the World Board to determine what is possible and practical moving forward and then seek approval from conference participants.</p> <p>Intent: Given the disruption in the rotation of WSC and WCNA that has already occurred, the increases in event costs, and the other changes brought about by the pandemic, to allow an evaluation by the World Board and approval by conference participants for what is possible and practical in the future.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 89-15-3-6 83%

Final Vote: 107-13-2-4 87% Consensus Support

Motion #9	World Board
	<p>To approve a three-year World Service Conference cycle on a trial basis following WSC 2023 through WSC 2029. Following 2029, the WSC cycle would return to two years, unless another decision is made.</p> <p>Intent: To put into practice some of what we've learned during the pandemic so that we can shift more resources to work that more directly carries the message. This would bring the WSC resource allocation in line with other changes that have been made in World Services—cuts in staff levels and a 50% reduction in in-person board meetings.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 90-15-3-5 83%

Final Vote: 98-22-1-3 80% Consensus Support

Decision: Do you want to decide on Motion #9 without entertaining any amendments:
81-41-0-2 66% Lack of Strong Support

Motion #10	World Board
	<p>If Motion 9 is adopted, to approve an interim virtual WSC in the middle of the conference cycle for decisions that are legally necessary and those that conference participants choose to address. As was done in the 2020-2023 cycle, material would be posted on na.org under the same deadline policies as the CAT (ninety days prior) and all voting conference participants would be polled to choose the items they wish to address.</p> <p>Intent: To allow conference participants to address some WSC business between in-person conference meetings.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 101-5-3-4 92%

Final Vote: 114-7-2-2 92% Consensus Support

Do you want to discuss and decide on the amendment to Motion #10:
54-69-1-1 43% Lack of Strong Support

Motion #11	World Board
	<p>If Motion 9 is adopted, to approve a change to the release of the <i>Conference Agenda Report (CAR)</i> to be thirty days earlier than the current policy of 150 days prior to the start of the World Service Conference. The new <i>CAR</i> release day would be 180 days prior to the in-person WSC meeting for English, 150 days for translated versions. The deadline for the finalization of regional and zonal motions would be 270 days. The <i>Conference Agenda Report</i> will be posted on na.org at no cost to members.</p> <p>Intent: To release the <i>CAR</i> earlier to allow more time for its review.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 109-1-0-3 99%

Final Vote: 117-7-0-1 94% Consensus Support

Do you want to discuss and decide on the amendment to Motion #11: 63-61-0-0 50% Lack of Strong Support

Motion #12	World Board
	<p>To change the current policy of NA World Services automatically funding delegates from seated regions and zones to the WSC to funding available upon request.</p> <p>Intent: To encourage service bodies to fund their delegates, but ensure that regions and zones that need the funding are able to have it.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 101-9-0-3 91%

Final Vote: 110-12-0-3 90% Consensus Support

Motion #13	Argentina Region
	<p>To direct the World Board to create a project plan for the next World Service Conference (WSC), for the development of a new IP for daily personal inventory of gratitude.</p> <p>Intent: To create a new resource for the fellowship members, through a new IP for the daily personal inventory of gratitude.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 76-29-5-3 69%

Final Vote: 88-29-3-2 73% Strong Support

Motion #14	Sweden and Australia Regions Comakers: Northern California Region, Wisconsin Region, Ontario Region
	To direct the World Board to create a project plan for consideration at the next WSC to investigate changes and/or additional wording to NA literature from gender specific language to gender neutral and inclusive language. Intent: This motion will give the conference and the Fellowship the ability to meaningfully discuss changes to our literature to be more inclusive of all our members.

Initial Straw Poll: 75-34-2-2 67%

Final Vote: 92-31-1-1 74% Strong Support

Motion #21	Free State Region
	Original Motion: To direct NA World Services to remove the Hospitals and Institutions Handbook from the inventory. As amended by #21-a1: Create a project plan for the next WSC to update the Hospitals and Institutions Handbook and remove outdated terms and references. Intent: To remove an outdated service manual from WSO inventory

Initial Straw Poll: 24-82-2-5 22%

Final Vote: 109-13-3-1 87% Consensus Support

Motion #21-a1	South Florida Region Co-maker: New Jersey Region
Amend, CAR Motion 21	To substitute the wording of Motion #21 with the following: Create a project plan for the next WSC to update the Hospitals and Institutions Handbook and remove outdated terms and references.

Initial Straw Poll: 71-24-3-15 72%

Final Vote: 89-28-3-6 74% Strong Support

Motion #26	World Board
	To approve the project plan for New Recovery Informational Pamphlets.

Initial Straw Poll: 108-5-3-10 93%

Final Vote: 124-10-0-0 92% Consensus Support

Motion #27	World Board
	To approve the project plan for Revising Existing Recovery Informational Pamphlets.

Initial Straw Poll: 112-3-3-8 94%
Final Vote: 131-2-1-2 97% Consensus Support

Motion #28	World Board
	To approve the project plan for Issue Discussion Topics.

Initial Straw Poll: 111-3-6-6 92%
Final Vote: 127-5-2-0 94% Consensus Support

Motion #29	World Board
	To approve the project plan for New and Revised Service Tools.

Initial Straw Poll: 113-1-4-8 95%
Final Vote: 122-11-1-0 91% Consensus Support

Motion #30	World Board
	To approve the project plan for Future of the WSC.

Initial Straw Poll: 109-3-4-10 93%
Final Vote: 130-4-1-0 96% consensus support

Motion #31	World Board
	To approve the project plan for Invest in Our Vision.

Initial Straw Poll: 108-2-6-10 93%
Final Vote: 127-6-2-0 94% Consensus Support

Motion #32	World Board
	To approve the 2023–2025 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc., Budget

Initial Straw Poll: 109-3-7-7 91%
Final Vote: 129-5-1-0 95% Consensus Support

Motion #33	World Board
	<p>To use the following terminology to describe straw poll and vote outcomes, for WSC 2023 only:</p> <p>Unanimous support Consensus support (meaning 80% or greater support) 80%–<100% Strong support (meaning 2/3 majority support) 66.66%–<80% Lack of strong support (meaning less than 2/3 support) >20%–<66.66% Consensus not in support (meaning 20% or fewer support) >0%–20% No support</p> <p>Intent: To simplify the terminology to describe voting and polling outcomes and make it less potentially confusing.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 106-1-3-3 96%

Final Vote: 119-1-2-1 97% Consensus Support

Motion #34	World Board
	<p>For WSC 2023 only: If a participant appeals a decision of the Cofacilitator, the Cofacilitator will explain why they ruled as they did, the participant making the appeal will explain why they are appealing the ruling, and then the body will vote. The voting threshold required to uphold a decision of the Cofacilitator under appeal will be 50% plus 1 in favor of the Cofacilitator.</p> <p>Intent: To clearly define the process for a participant to appeal the chair, thus maintaining checks and balances.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 94-10-5-4 86%

Final Vote: 102-17-1-3 85% Consensus Support

Motion #36	World Board
	<p>To adopt, for WSC 2023 only, the following approaches for New Idea Discussions</p> <p>[See page 6 of the CAT]</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 94-1-7-11 92%

Final Vote: 106-3-10-5 89% Consensus Support

Motion #37	World Board
	<p>To adopt the 2023–2025 Reimbursement Policy.</p>

Initial Straw Poll: 112-1-5-8 94%

Final Vote: 132-1-2-0 97% Consensus Support

Motion #38	World Board
	To approve the 2020 and 2022 World Service Conference minutes.

Initial Straw Poll: 100-0-6-7 94%
Final Vote: 116-1-1-5 98% Consensus Support

Motion #39	France Region Co-makers: South Florida Region, Israel Region, Netherlands Region
	To seat Iran Region #1 at the World Service Conference.

Initial Straw Poll: 102-5-7-12 89%
Final Vote: 116-12-4-3 87% Consensus Support

Motion #40	South Florida Region
	To seat Brazil Central region

Initial Straw Poll: 76-18-12-20 71%
Final Vote: 88-32-7-9 69% Strong Support

Motion #41	South Florida Region
	To seat Nordeste Brazil Region

Initial Straw Poll: 89-9-12-16 80%
Final Vote: 112-13-3-9 87% Consensus Support

Motion #42	South Florida Region
	To seat Rio Grande do Sul

Initial Straw Poll: 91-7-11-17 83%
Final Vote: 111-13-3-10 87% Consensus Support

Motion #43	South Florida Region Co-maker: Asia Pacific Forum
	To seat Thailand Region

Initial Straw Poll: 91-12-9-14 81%
Final Vote: 119-14-1-3 88% Consensus Support

Motion #44	World Board																		
	<p>To use the following terminology to describe straw poll and vote outcomes:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Unanimous support</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Consensus support</td> <td>(meaning 80% or greater support)</td> <td>80%–<100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strong support</td> <td>(meaning 2/3 majority support)</td> <td>66.66%–<80%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lack of strong support</td> <td>(meaning less than 2/3 support)</td> <td>>20%–<66.66%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Consensus not in support</td> <td>(meaning 20% or fewer support)</td> <td>>0%–20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No support</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Intent: To simplify the terminology to describe voting and polling outcomes and make it less potentially confusing.</p>	Unanimous support			Consensus support	(meaning 80% or greater support)	80%–<100%	Strong support	(meaning 2/3 majority support)	66.66%–<80%	Lack of strong support	(meaning less than 2/3 support)	>20%–<66.66%	Consensus not in support	(meaning 20% or fewer support)	>0%–20%	No support		
Unanimous support																			
Consensus support	(meaning 80% or greater support)	80%–<100%																	
Strong support	(meaning 2/3 majority support)	66.66%–<80%																	
Lack of strong support	(meaning less than 2/3 support)	>20%–<66.66%																	
Consensus not in support	(meaning 20% or fewer support)	>0%–20%																	
No support																			

Final Vote: 131-1-0-0 99% **Consensus Support**

Motion #45	World Board
	<p>If a participant appeals a decision of the Cofacilitator, the participant making the appeal will explain why they are appealing the ruling, the Cofacilitator will explain why they ruled as they did, and then the body will vote. The voting threshold required to uphold a decision of the Cofacilitator under appeal will be 50% plus 1 in favor of the Cofacilitator.</p>

Final Vote: 123-10-1-0 91% **Consensus Support**

Motion #47	World Board
	<p>To make the new idea discussion process used at WSC 2023 standing policy in GWSNA. [see page 6 of the CAT]</p>

Final Vote: 107-15-4-2 84% **Consensus Support**